GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1900-11

(Q F)

File No. 286-10

Serial Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 8(a) 13, 19, 20, 23, 24 26, 27 & 36

Papers Filed.

Month September 13 p. 92 (F)

SUBJECT.

Loan account of Srinivasa Rao of Bakshi Thippiah's family

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
Q. F. 286-10, Sls 14, 16, 17 & 35 B June 13.	Q. F. 7-15 Ss 8, 12, 14, 16, 17 and 18 B. April 1916.
S. R. 212-10, Sls 1 & 2, B Aug. 1911 (Slip)	

Srinivasa Murthy
3/10/13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(P. Z)

NOTES.

286-1
File. No 37

FILE HEADING.

Bakshi Thippaiah family loan

From

The Deputy Commissioner Mysore.

No. 395 Dated 11-1-1911. Received 13-1-11

Diary No. 2757. | Enclos. 4 Slates Spare Copies. | Serial No. 112

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards for information copy of his letter No. 4280 dt 6/11-12-1911 addressed to the Comptroller ~~for information~~ regarding the observation made in para 15 of Govt Order No. 7422-F dt. 15/7/1909.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

2 Petition dt 16th January 1911. from T. Sreenivasa Rao - praying for the release of the village that had been mortgaged by him & the return of the documents & the payment of Rs. 500/- in lieu of the income that has accrued during the year 1909-10

NOTES—First sheet.

MGCPB—30,000—17-2-05.

Serial No.

F

In reply to this petition Mr. T. Sreenivasa Rao Inamdar of Tirunelli was informed vide G.O. 1989 dy 9/10/1910; that on receipt of a report regarding the repayment of the loan from the Dy Comr Nyapur order would be passed. He was desired to place himself in communication with the Dy Comr Nyapur for reconciling the difference that existed between his & the Dy Comr's loan account.

2 In the letter addressed to the Comptroller, copy of which is received here, the Deputy Commissioner has at length explained the circumstances which have contributed to the confusion in the loan accounts of the several branches of the family.

3 If approved this may be forwarded to the Comptroller's office for a note of verification in respect of the alleged adjustment of the loan account of Mr T Sreenivasa Rao to Mr Kesaviah, Member of the first branch of Thippaiah's family.

4 and 23/viii Fr. Memo to Mr.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

§ 7 File No. 286 - of 19 10

Serial No.	
5	Forwarded unofficially to the Comptroller for verification ~~of~~ of the loan account of T. Srinivas Rao & Kesaviah of Bakshi Theppara family. By order. For Secretary

No 26 70
§ 25-1-1911
Ent 1 file
& 2 collections



A267

24/1/11
a/c Loans.
26/1

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Q7 File No. 286 of 1910

Serial No.

No. 206
9 2-11.

6 The discrepancies between the figures of this office and the statements now furnished by the Deputy Commissioner's are two fold:- (i)Sums which ought to be credited against one account are wrongly credited against some other account.

(ii) Certain items of credit, viz, 174-15-3, 1025-2- and 435-10-1 are omitted altogether.

11/Rs. 5.11.

7 How these discrepancies arose is easily ex-plained. It is not in this office but in that of the Deputy Commissioner's that the realizations of revenue are classified villagewar and apportioned among the owners thereof. The Treasury submits to this office, every month, a statement shewing the recoveries on account of each loan. In this statement, the number and date of the voucher on which the original advance was made, the name of the .. borrower and the amount credited are noted. These .. statements form the basis on which entries in our Registers are made, and a wrong classification or omission in the former is necessarily repeated in the latter as well. It is no doubt true that these discrepancies will be noticed if the Deputy Commissioner's who keeps detailed accounts of these loans, compares the figures furnished by this Office at the end of each year, with his own books and intimates to this office any discrepancies .. which may be detected - but this is not always .. done. There is thus little chance of an error in .. the Treasury credit statement being subsequently rectified, and the practice prevailing in the Deputy Commissioner's office whereby revenue realizations from

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

Serial No.

from these villages are in the first instance credited to Deposits or some other head - the subsequent adjustment towards the loan not being intimated to this office, contributes not a little to the Treasury statements being defective.

8 Regarding the rectification of the defects now pointed out by the Deputy Commissioner, it is to be stated that the Deputy Commissioner is at liberty to propose transfer of credits from the name of one person to the name of another and so long as this does not affect the totals of the .. Treasury statements, his proposals are accepted and needful adjustments made in this office. But when his proposals involve an alteration in the total figures of the Treasury Statement as in the case when he says that certain items have been .. omitted in the Loan Accounts of this office - they cannot be acted upon until the Treasury Officer .. states that as a matter of fact, such errors have happened and points out the head under which those items have been wrongly classified. In accordance with the above, the transfers proposed by the Deputy Commissioner to and from the account of .... Srinivasa Rao and Kesaviah have been brought on the books of the Office and the omissions, pointed out by him, will be included in the account when the Treasury Officer who has been addressed in the matter points out where the sums have been wrongly included.

9 Excluding from consideration the items which are alleged to be omitted in this office and ~~to~~ which

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

Serial No.					
	which are under correspondence with the Deputy Commissioner the account of Srinivasa Rao and Kesaviah stood as follows on 1st July 1907:- the date up to which the D.C. has overhauled the Accounts. Subsequent to this date no recoveries appear in the Books of this Office.)				
	Amount advanced.	Interest up to end of 1906-07.	Total demand.	Total Recoveries up to end of 1906-07.	Balance on 1st July 1907
	Rs.3000/-	1323/-	Rs.4323/-	Rs.4074-1-1 (Sums aggregating Rs.174-15-3 are stated to have been credited to the Treasury but omitted in our accounts. The matter is under correspondence).	Rs.248-14-11.
	10 On receipt of the reply from the Treasury officer a further report will be made on the state of the three accounts.				

S. Shamanna
8-2-11.

Subd
10/2/11

No 7691 of 6-3-11 for orders 2

Forwarded to the Comptroller, under cover of the report referred to in his note (supra) of the 8-2-1911 & being furnished ~~as it has not yet been~~ received in this Office

By Order
for H Secretary

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE
CIVIL BRANCH,
7. MAR. 1911
BANGALORE.
MYSORE GOVT.

31/3/11 Nil Loans

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 286 of 1910-11

Serial No.

No. 215
7.3.1911

The D.C.'s reply has not yet been received. He has been reminded on 2.3.11.

S. Shamanna
7.3.11

Submitted
N.36
8/3.

Await reply
13/3

May this be sent to Comptroller's office by way of reminding?

Please submit
N.36
29/4/11
29/4/11

Submitted. This may be forwarded to the Comptroller's office for a note & return.

N.36
27/5/1911
27/5/11

There is a petn received from the third Branch today requiring enquiries as they contain certain allegations against the branch. In letting this case that petn must not be lost sight of. Please send on

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE, CIVIL BRANCH, 30. MAY 1911 BANGALORE. MYSORE GOVT.

R 342

29.5.11

No 76 152
30-5-11
with 1 collection & other papers

forwarded to the Comptroller for a note & verification of the accounts referred to above.

By order
For H. Secretary

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 286 of 1910

8

Serial No.

No. 251
1-6-11.

The reply received from the Dy Commissioner Mysore, vide, his docketed 6761 of the 14th/21st April last (Copy enclosed) is incomplete, and the Statements referred to in para 2 thereof, stated to have been forwarded to the Treasury Department for verification & transmission to this Office, direct have not yet been received. Both the Revenue and the Treasury Departments of the Dy Commissioner's Office, Mysore, have been reminded on the Subject, and on receipt of their reply, the further report promised in this Office note of the 8th February last will be forwarded.

L. Shamanna.
1/6/11

Submitted

138
8/6.
8/6/11

Await further report

8/6/11

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

(3) D. 4774

It has been ascertained from enquiry in Branch I. that the file of papers connected with the loan etc. to the members of the Bakshi Thyagaraja's family have been sent to the Superintendent of Inam Settlements.

Submitted for orders as to whether the petition may be sent to Dy Coms. for report, or Asst Dy for report on the several points.

?! 28/

29/5/1911 3 Transfers to Br I. to continue transactions thereon

29.5.11

P.T.C

29/5/11

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB—30,000—23-2-11

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

4 The points urged in the petition are as follow:—

1) that the estate belonging to the 3rd branch of Bhatkhi Thippiah's family has been mismanaged by T. Sreeni-Vasa Rao, one of the inamdars, whose interests were adverse to the petitioner, that on the failure of T. Sreenivasa Rao to appear with his accounts before the Sub-Division Officer of Mysore in obedience to his orders that Officer ordered that the portion of collections from the estate due to him should be withheld till he renders satisfactory accounts in respect of the management of the estate, that in spite of this order T. Sreenivasa Rao applied to the Dy Commr and got his share of the income without rendering the accounts demanded from him, and that therefore, the Dy Commr may be

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB—30,000—23-2-11

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Q Nos 133 to 137

ordered to withhold future payment-s from receivers a Rao,

2) that the interest on the loan due by the family may, as a special case, be reduced from 5 to 3 P.C.; as

3) that the Excise revenue from the estate remaining ~~of~~ unpaid for years together may be adjusted towards the arrears of the loan.

5 The question which has been referred to the Inam Supdt for opinion is about the alienation of an unenfranchised inam belonging to the same family.

6 It will thus be seen that the subject of the present petition has no bearing on the question which is being dealt with in this branch.

may the petition be returned to Br II with the above remarks?

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB—30,000—23-2-11

To Br II

CA 31-5-11

B.M. Sealed

Up

O 11/6.

4 12

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Submitted. May the Dy Coms be addressed to submit an early report on the several points represented by the petitioner.

D-36 Mr Earlsman

1/6. 1.6.11.

RMG

1/6/11

Drafts submitted.

SK-145

6.6.11 Some of the D.C. Regns

RMG some relating to D.C. Hackney

5/6/11 enclosed

5/6/11

Revised draft subd

SK-145

6.6.11

RMG

5/6/11

Some

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB—30,000—23-2-11

13 E

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

(4). Petition from M. K. Krishna Rao a member of the 3rd Branch of Bakshi Thippiah's family, praying that until satisfactory accounts are rendered of the loan granted to the 3 branches payment of Revenues to T. Srinivasa Rao be withheld and the D.C be asked to give him a D.C.B. account

Dewan's order:-

11 (1) 'Were the payments made under sanction or in accordance with any order'?

(2) 'Why not the D.C.B accounts be ordered to be given soon'?

It may be seen from the file put up that there was no order or sanction for payments alleged to be made to T. Srinivasa Rao.

N. B—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

12 In his petn of 16-1-11. T. Srinivasa Rao prays for the payment of the income that has accrued during 1909-10 &c.

GPB—35,000—21-4-09.

P.T.O.

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

12 for this the comptroller report is awaited. Submitted

BSG 138
9/6
9/6/11

~~Deputy Secretary~~

14 Please call for D.C's Eppr on the file raised. [illegible] Bell

15 Draft submitted as ordered.

16. Discrepancies in respect of the loan account of is pending scrutiny in the Comptroller's office vide f. 7. 285-10.

Submitted
N-86
13/6/1911.

Issued [illegible] Bell

Sec

13/6/11

N B—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB—30,000—23-2-11

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 286 of 1910

Serial No.	
4	Petition of 7/7/1911 from M. K. Krishna Rao urging that "pending formal orders on his representation the payment of any further sums to Mr. D. Srinivas Rao be deferred".
	17. The loan accounts relating to the three branches of the family of the late Bakshi Thippaiah are in a most confused condition. In the language of the Dy Comr Mysore Dr. they have "presented considerable difficulty in their adjustment and gave him "considerable anxiety". This is so far as accounts to the end of 1906-07 are considered. It has been the subject of verification in the Comptroller's office and is still engaging the attention of the Financial Branch. vide Office note dy 8th June 1911.
V	18 The allegation of the petitioner that payments are being made to Srinivas Rao out of the revenues of Jinnelli village to the detriment of the interests of the other members of the family is not known to Govt. through the official agency.
	19 The Dy Comr Mysore is well aware of the confused character of the loan account and under the circumstance payments cannot be made to the debtors in the alleged manner

Dy Comr's letter No. 395-79/11

NOTE – Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

Serial No.

Even in respect of T. Srinivas Rao application requesting that the bonds of mortgage etc- may be returned as his share of the loan had been adjusted he was informed that a "report regarding the alleged repayment in full of the loan has been called for from the Dy Com^r and that on its receipt orders will be passed on his petition"

7 End no 1989 29/10/09.

20. Thus if, as alleged, revenues of Thirumalli village debitable to the loan account are being paid to Srinivas Rao, the Dy Com^r may be asked to stop payments pending the full and final ~~settlement~~ adjustments of accounts & up to date are satisfactorily settled in the interests of the debtors ~~on~~ on one side & the Govt on the other

Sub^d for orders with a draft

M-36
12/7/1911

See orders on the continuation sheet

Sd/ ?
Mr. Sivaram has asked for the Revenues being withheld with this order 9/8/11

Sd/ 12/8
Many on leave since this Rs 1/4s 7/11 Since received - Mr Smith wanted that Mr Sivaram should bring to Govt that Rao has settled accounts

Sd/ 13-7-11.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G.R.S. 13]

4 17

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

B. L. R.

Expedite reply to my queries
write to the department
Necessary. Intd. J. A. R.
8/7/11.

The Superintendent Br II. for
kindly furnishing the information
asked for by the Dewan on the
previous petition
Intd. B L R
8/7/11.

Note Continued and submd.
M. 36
12/7/1911.

The Dy. Comr Mysore to whom
the previous petition has been sent
for report has not yet replied.
The Dewan's query was answered
in office note 8/9/6 to the effect
that no order or sanction had been
given for the disbursement to
Srinivas Rao as alleged by the
petitioner.
M-36 Submd to the Dewan for perusal
13/7/1911.

12492
13.7.11

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Seen. Draft approved.

GPB—30,000—23-2-11

G.R.S. 13]

~~10~~ 18

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Submitted may
a copy of the letter (unapproved)
to the Mysore Dy Com^r
be furnished to the
petitioner by way
of a reply to his two
petitions (D no 105 & 4862)?

M-36
22/7 Mulk-Raj

Please issue the
usual Instr. A Copy
is not necessary

Draft submitted for approval

M-36
22/7 Mulk-Raj

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB—30,000—23-2-11

Serial No.

8 To. The Dy Comr, Mysore Dt
No. R 320 dy 25. 7. 1911.

Requests him to cease payment to Srinivasa Rao his share of the revenues of the Thirunelli village till a satisfactory adjustment of the loan accounts of the other members of the family who have an interest in the revenues of the said village is arrived at; and enquires why D.C.B. accounts of the properties of the family under Govt management should not be furnished to the debtors.

8(a) To. Mr M-K. Krishna Rao. - Inamdar. ~~Rao~~. No. 321 dy. 25/7/1911. Informs him that the Dy Comr Mysore Dt has been addressed on the subject of his petition, on receipt of which final orders will be passed.

From the Deputy Commissioner Mysore Dt—

13 No. 212 dy 4. 11. 1911. Reports that the contending parties (the Ex-Agent on one side and Venkata Rao & Krishna Rao on behalf of 3rd Br) have since come to an understanding and are now agreed that a sum of Rs 1008 may be taken as the aggregate of short credits and—

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

Serial No.

Un incurred and unauthorised charges and that Ex-agent Srinivas Rao has expressed his willingness to make good this amount from his share of the income of the village - and that D. C. B. accounts ~~worked~~ of Paduvanakodu Hosahalli village have been furnished to the Inamdars and that the Amildar of the Hunsur Taluk has been directed to furnish the Inamdars the accounts of Jirinally.

As the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore reports that the contending parties have come to an amicable settlement in respect of the ~~accounts~~ accounts. No action seems called for in this Office beyond intimating to ~~Rao~~ M. K. Krishna Rao of this fact and the Dy Comr to proceed in the matter of adjustment of accounts towards the reduction of the loan ~~account~~ account of Sanoo Rao & Thim.

~~The Secretary~~

The Dy Comr Hasan D. will be asked to furnish the Dy Comr ~~of~~ Mysore & the parties concerned at an early date.

Draft Sub[d] for approval

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

97 File No. 286 of 1910

Serial No.

The Excise Section may be ordered to note below the total amount that shall be due to the Inamdars of Thirumalli under toddy revenue due to them from fish-

Subd. for orders with two drafts.

N-86
24/11/1911

The D. C. reports that the parties have come to an agreement in the matter of Achan is required on the 7 of points raised by the D.C:-

① Crediting Srinivasa Rao's share of the revenue of the Village towards the loan of Sannoo Rao & others — Please see draft putup.

② Furnishing D.C.B accts to the Inamdars - Please see draft.

③ Toddy revenue due to the Inamdar - No need to consult the Fcls Secy. The Excise Commr will submit the necessary report bill and achan will be taken on its receipt.

Please see 7 GR. 212-10 put up below. Toddy revenue for the years 92-93 to 96-97 has been given to the Inamdar. But the toddy revenue here [illegible] by the D.C.'s statement.

PR
25.11.11. It is satisfactory that the unfortunate quarrels of the two branches have been amicably settled. The draft proposals may be approved. It is found that some

NOTE— Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

H

G. R. S. 12]
neral and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 19

Serial No.

Sum from 1897 onwards is due on accn of Excise Rev to the Inamdars it would be nothing but fair to allow them Compd interest thereon upto date of payment for of the amount ~~loaned~~ realised annually the principal would have gone down & that sum in interest on that amount saved.

(Dewan)

14667/31.1.12

Approved

Mysore 14/5

20

To The D-C. Mysore Dt
The D-C. Hassan Dt
The Excise Commissioner
The Comptroller

Approves of the proposal of the Mysore District Dy. Commr to credit the share of the Revenue of the Tirumally Village due to Srinivasa Rao towards the loan of Samoo Rao & others to the extent of Rs 1000/-

Note— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
(General and Revenue Secretariat.

D. 842

23

File No. 286 of 1910

Serial No.	
23	Petition from Mr. Inamdar Krishna Rao requesting to be informed of the final orders passed in respect of his representation against the alleged mismanagement of the properties by Srinivasa Rao of Tanuku.

Endorsement-

The issue of the draft-post-up on 24/11/1911 would be a suitable ~~reply~~ reply to the petitioner and obviate further reference from him in this behalf.

Please read I. Note

Eg. Macdonald 12/10

24	To Mr M. K. Krishna Rao. Inamdar. Inpsre No. 2666 dt 30-10-12. D6. Inpsre reports that the petitioner and Mr. T. Srinivasa Rao have come to an amicable settlement in respect of the loan accounts and its adjustments and requests the petr: to put himself in communication with the Dy Commr: Inpsre for the D6 Bs. & such other accounts required.

Mr. 2667 dt 30-10-12.
Copy of the above to D6. Inpsre

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ez File No. 286 of 1910.

Serial No.

Petition dy 25-11-1912 from. Inamdar. M. K. Krishna Rao. Requests that orders may be passed as regards the rate of interest to be levied from the Ex-Agent Srinivas Rao in respect of the balance of adjustment of (Rs 1008) ordered in G.O. No. 4996-9 dy Ez 7286-10-3

18/5/1912.

G

It is seen from the enclosure to Dy Com's letter No. 423 dy 24-2-1912 that the Ex agent has agreed to pay interest at 5%; ~~from~~ further the Dy Com. Mysore has been requested to see to the satisfactory closing up of this long standing loan account vide Ens No. 2667 dy 30-10-1912.

Under the circumstances, this petition may be forwarded in original to the Dy Com for disposal with a request that the Inamdar may be intimated that interest will be caused to be given credit for in the accounts; if approved

Cl. 26 Bdm
4/3/1912

It is but fair that interest at the same rate as that the loan itself bears should be levied & the Ex Agent & this has been agreed to by him & the Dy Com. The petn may be sent to the Dy Com for disposal & if necessary remit to the petr.

Cl. 26 Bdm

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | 77 File No. 288 of 1910-11

Serial No.

Draft submitted for approval.

N.26 10/1/1913 — Issued 11.1.13

26 To the Deputy Comm^r, Mysore
Pl. 3918 dy 17.1.13
Fy. the above petition for disposal

27 From the Dy Comm^r, Mysore
No. 393 dy 23.1.13
States the question of allowing interest on the amount stated to be due from the ~~to~~ Exagent Srinivasa Rao of Tirumelly is pending before Govt.

Subd
AHM-77 15/2/13 — Where is the file - Let me see it - Earl

Subd?
Please see Paper under disposal Pl. 3 in the linked file.
AHM-77 21-2-13 — The reference mentioned by the Dy Comm^r is separately submitted to the Dewan for orders on the question of reduction of interest.
May this await its return?
AHM-77 24/3/13 — What a reduction ? entered

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

48 46

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 286 of 1910-11

Serial No.

todown the case & the file await orders on that case. The Dy C himself has reported that the Zemindar agreed to pay interest at the same rate as the loan itself bears & that settles the question. What prevents the Dy C from taking action as per terms of the compromise agreed to by all the parties. [I want case to be worked up with a little more care & not sent up without any indication of what has been done. The office should note that wherever it is possible to dispose of a case finally that should be done without delay.]

4-3-13.

Dr N. Rao

Submitted. With all deference and humble submission to the spirit of the observation noted above, the petition of Iramdas Krishna Rao was sent to the Deputy Commissioner for disposal (please ft 3918 of 17/1/1913) but it is the Deputy Commissioner who returns the petition and states that on final orders on his letter No 423 of 24-2-1912 he would grant endorsement to the Krishna Rao and thereby dispose of his prayer. From what the D C Com in his now lying letter it would seem that in case a reduction in the rate of

Please verify

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G7 File No. 287 of 1910-11

Serial No.

of interest is conceded & the Inamdars he would extend the Concession & the Ex-Agents also in the Settlement of their internal disputes.

It is best, under the circumstance, for the Deputy Commissioner to be told that the disposal of ~~the~~ Inamdar Krishna Rao's petition need not await the disposal of his recommendation ~~of~~ for the reduction in rate of interest (3½%) to be levied on the loan amount due to Govt from the Inamdars

Language rather?

Submitted ~~for~~ for orders with a draft.

H.B. 8/3.

Please peruse proposed draft & verify facts cited in the draft carefully.

L 10.3.13

Fair draft submitted. The facts cited have been duly verified

H.B. 11/3/1913

L 12.3.13.

The draft does not read well. This rate — what rate? There is no reference to any rate in the preceding portion

GR

Herein "is dropped" "have been drafted" & the word "the late" ~~the~~ "the late"

L 14.3.13.

...TE—Contn. sheet.

GII—65,000—4-C12.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G F File No. 286 of 1910-11.

Serial No.	
Hyd.	Submitted with a fresh draft. As the question of reducing the rate of interest on the original loan has since been decided in the negative, that portion of the draft relating to it has been omitted in the fresh draft. Cover has been dispatched with the letter. 27.8.13 SW 28/8/13 H Sec's Reference 8.9.13 2/9/13
36.	In the Dy Secy: Minute Sl No: 1499 Dt 12-9-13. In reply to Sl No: 27. invites attention to this office memo No: 7524 Dt 30-6-13. and requests that the present question (allowing interest at 5 per cent) may be disposed of accordingly.

Note—Contn. sheet.

G I P—65,000—17-C-12.

8275/97

No. 395
Enclosure No. One Copy & 4 Statements

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commissioner
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated, Mysore Camp 9th Jan. 1911. 19
11th

13/1

G.F. 296-10 SL(1)

FROM

M.Kantharaj Urs Esq., B.A.
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT.

To

J.S. Chakravarti Esquire M.A., F.R.A.S.
Financial Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to para 15 of Government Proceedings No. Fl 422-30 G.F. 302-08 dated 15th July 1909, I have the honor to submit herewith copy of letter No. 4280 dated 6th 11th January 1911 addressed to the Comptroller, Mysore Government.

I have the honor to be
sir,
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

Enclosure 1 to 6

Office of the Deputy Co missioner

No. 4280. ysore District

6th January 1911.

11th

From

M. Kantaraj Urs Esquire B.A

Deputy Co missioner

ysore District.

To

J.S. Chakravarti Esquire., .A., F.R.A.S.

Comptroller- ysore Gover ment.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 2558/Loans 494/ 01 dated 28th/29th Oct. 1910, forwarding a statement showing the amounts adjusted towards principal and interest and those held under reserve, — out of the collections of the villages of the encumbered Inamdars for the year 1909-10 and making certain observations I have the honor to state as follo s:

Of the several Inamdars to whom the Government were please to sanction loans from time to time, the loan accounts relating to the three Branches of the late Thippia's family presented considerable difficulty in their adjustments. The confused state of accounts pertaining to these branches gave me considerable anxiety and though serious attempts were made to reconcile these accounts it was not found possible to adjust them for want of details. Now that a clerk was specially deputed to attend exclusively to this work, he was able to overhaul the accounts received from the T luks and those maintained in Treasury and has discovered how discrepancies were allowed to creep in. One of the chief causes for the confusion in the accounts of these branches is due to the amounts remitted for one branch being adjusted towards the loan of the other, t ough

though loans were separately granted to these branches at different times and documents executed separately. To explain it more clearly Srinivas Rao and Keshavia belonging to one branch of the family obtained loan in the year 189 -94 on the security of their one-third share of Tirunally village; Sanu Rao and others of the second branch also obtained a loan on the security of (1) 1/3 share of Tirunally village in the Hunsur Taluk (2) ½ of Paduvanakodu vritti lands in the Chamarajanagar Taluk and (3) Sarvamanya Hodenur village in the Arkalgud Taluk; while the third branch represented by Srinivasa Rao and Venkatesiah took a loan from Government on the hypothecation of their village viz., Dodda Komanahalli in the Arkalgud Taluk Hassan District. I am submitting herewith three statements A.B.C. showing in detail the accounts of Srinivasa Rao and Keshavia, Sanu Rao and others and Srinivasa Rao and Venkatesia respectively. A glance at these statements will show how the income of one branch of the family has been adjusted towards the loan of the other branches. and vice versa. This adjustment was made from time to time in your office, and it is not known what circumstances led to the adjustment of the amount realised from one Inamdar to the accounts of the other two branches. For instance, in 1897-98 a sum of Rs. 318-8-3 was credited towards the adjustment of the loan of Srinivas Rao and Keshavia and this amount seems to have been adjusted in your office towards the adjustment of the loan due by Srinivas Rao and Venktesia.

The above realisation was from the Tirunally village in the Hunsur Taluk and it is surprising how this amount was adjusted towards the loan of Srinivasa Rao and Venkatesia who have absolutely no connection whatever with Tirunally village. Again a sum of Rs. 700 realised from Srinivas Rao and Keshavia in 1900-01 was adjusted as follows:-

1.	Srinivasa Rao & Keshavia	28	4	0
2.	Sanu Rao & others	210	0	0
3.	Srinivas Rao and Venkatesia ...	70	0	0
4.	Omission in your office accounts.	31	12	0
	Total...	700	0	0

Similarly there are several wrong distribution of amounts which will be clear on a careful perusal of the three statements herein enclosed. I have mentioned the above instances to elucidate the statements that accompany this report. The figures now furnished have been arrived at after collecting the necessary information from the several taluks concerned and these have been verified carefully with the Treasury figures. As the accounts of these branches have been pressing settlement from a long time and as the Inamdars have been constantly complaining that correct accounts have not been rendered to them, I request that you will be so good as to have the accounts of these three branches revised in the light of the information and details furnished in the accompanying statements at a very early date and to send to this office a copy of the revised calculation thus made.

In revising the accounts the figures shown in col.2 of the statement A, in col. 6 of B (1), and col. 2 of C should be adopted being the amounts realised from their respective villages towards the adjustment of principal and interest.

There is a main another point which I wish to bring to your notice. The collections of Hodenur and Doddahommanahalli villages in the Hassan District have not been adjusted at the end of every year which is the proper procedure to be adopted. Towards the end of 1904-05 the Amildar of Arkalgud Taluk sent a P.S.I.R. for Rs. 1079-11-7 being the collections of the Hodenur village from 1901-02 to 1904-05 as noted in the margin, and this amount was brought to account in 1905-06 and adjusted towards the interest. If the Amildar had intimated the collection at the end of every year, the Inamdar would not have sustained loss in the shape of interest. This point also may kindly be taken into consideration in revising the accounts.

1901-02 - 332-3-5
02-03 - 220-0-10
03-04 - 284-7-16
04-05 - 242-15-6
1079-11-7

I ave now furnished complete information up to the end of 1906-07 in respect of this family. The accounts for the

years 1907-08 to 1909-10 will be separately submitted on receipt of certain information called for from the Arkalgud Taluk Hassan District.

I have the honor to be

sir,

Your most obedient servant

sd. M.Kantharaj Urs

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District.

Copy

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

సంఖ్య
14

Kemi Rec

ఫారం 28 సం (2)

11/11 తేదీ

శ్రీమన్మహామండలేశ్వర సర్ సి.వి.నరసింహారావు, దివాన్ సాహెబ్
ఆఫీసులో ఉన్నప్పుడు నెల్లూరులో యువరాజ
సంస్థానం కంగళ్ళకు.

నెల్లూరులో ఉన్నప్పుడు మీరు మా కుటుంబానికి చేసిన సహాయం
ఎంతో గొప్పదని మేము ఎన్నటికీ మరచిపోలేము. ఆ సహాయానికి మీకు
ఏమి చెల్లించినా తక్కువే అవుతుంది. మీ ఋణం తీర్చుకోవడానికి
ఏ అవకాశమూ మాకు లభించలేదు.

మా తాతగారు అంబళ్ళకు వెళ్ళినప్పుడు వారికి ఆహారం
పెట్టడానికి ఏర్పాటు చేసినందుకు 1824 నాటి ఒక శాసనంలో
మా వంశానికి ఇచ్చిన ఉత్తరువు ప్రకారం — ఎవరూ ప్రయాణంలో
వున్నప్పుడు వారికి ఆహారం పెట్టడానికి మా వంశానికి
సంక్రమించిన అధికారాన్ని నాకు నా తండ్రి
ఈ తాళపత్రాన్ని నాకు ఇచ్చినప్పుడు మా తండ్రి చూసి ఆనందించారు.
ఇప్పుడు ఆ ధర్మశాస్త్రం 1854 నాటి ఒరిజినల్ శాసనంతో
సరిపోల్చి ధృవీకరించడానికి మద్రాసు గవర్నమెంటు వారు 1893 మార్చి నెల 31వ తేదీ
ఇచ్చిన ఉత్తరువు ప్రకారం నా తండ్రి మా వంశానికి
17 తరాలకు ఉపయోగపడేటట్లు మూడు వేల (3000) రూపాయలు పెట్టి
93 సంవత్సరాల క్రితం ఇచ్చిన ఉత్తరువును కొనుక్కున్నారు.
ఈ ఉత్తరువు ప్రకారం నాకు ఇవ్వవలసిన మొత్తాన్ని
మీరు మాకు ఇవ్వవలసిన అప్పులో జమ వేసుకోవడానికి
మీకు ఇష్టం లేకపోతే, ఆ మొత్తాన్ని ఎప్పుడు 19.7
నెలలలోపల 1908 నెలాఖరులోగా అయినా
చెల్లించవచ్చు. 1257. 12. 4 వ్యాపారం 4257. 1
మీరు నా అప్పులో కొంత మొత్తాన్ని తగ్గించి
మీకు చాలా ఉపకారం చేసినట్లు నేను భావిస్తున్నాను.
మీరు ఇక్కడ ఉన్నంత వరకూ ఆ మొత్తాన్ని
1910 నెలాఖరు లోపల చెల్లించవచ్చు.
మీరు ఇంగ్లండులో ఉన్నప్పుడు, ఇంగ్లండులో
1910 నెలాఖరులోగా ఆ మొత్తాన్ని చెల్లించవచ్చు.

ಆಕಾ.	ಗು.	ಆಕಾ.	ಕ	ಆಕಾ.	ಕ	ಆಕಾ.
29	8	135.4.	7	48.14.	531.8	185,34 666.12

ಒ. ಪ್ಲ ಆಕಾ.
163.16 1333-8.

ಬಾತಾಖಾನೆ ಬಾಕಿ ಸೇರಿ ಒಟ್ಟು ಕಟ್ಟಡ ಖರ್ಚು
1254-0

79-8

418---

ಈ ಕಟ್ಟಡದ ನಿರ್ಮಾಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಉಪಯೋಗವಾಗಿರುವ ಕಲ್ಲು ಸುಣ್ಣ ಮರಳು ಇವುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರು ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ತರುವ ಮೊದಲು ಕಲ್ಲುಗಳನ್ನು ಒಡೆಯುವ ಸುಣ್ಣವನ್ನು ಕಲಸುವ ಮರಳನ್ನು ಜರಡಿ ಹಿಡಿಯುವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಕೂಲಿಯ ವಿವರ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನಂತಿದೆ. ಈ ಕೂಲಿಯನ್ನು 1854 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಜನವರಿ ತಿಂಗಳಿನಿಂದ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸಾಲು ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ದಿನಾಂಕ	ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾದ ಕೂಲಿ
1	93.94	150---}
2	94-95	336-10-8
3	95. 96	307-8-.
4	96. 97	307-2-.
5	97-98	318-8-8
6	98, 99	310---.
7	99 00	300---.
8	00 1	300---.
9	1-2	349-8-.
10	2-3	236---.
11	3-4	286-7-3
12	4-5	337-11-9
13.	5, 6	
14	6, 7	
		775.9-
15.	7-8	95-12-
		4412-13-4
		155-1---.
		4257,12-4

ಈ ಕೂಲಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಕೂಲಿಯ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

16ನೇ ಜನವರಿ 1911.

286-10-4

1911.

No. 3038 of 1486

Name of Petitioner— M. K. Krish... na Row

Village— Bangalore

Taluk— do

District— do

Date of Arzi— 2d June 1911

Date of Receipt— do

Date of Disposal—

No. of Enclosure—

ABSTRACT.

Petitioner is a representative of the 3d branch of the late Kil... of the late Kil... -lr' cutcherry Bakshi Thippia... family, known as Sanna Rowo... branch who have obtained a loan of Rs 2000

Seen

1- Issue the payments made under sanction

2 Why no Mandoos are the names Shippia... son Early

Issued

Received 6/6/11

Issued

B 6/6/11

from Govern-
ment on the
mortgage of a
3 share of Iran
Irinjalli Village
and some other
properties—

Petitioner
respectfully represents that
one Mr T. Spi-
nivasa Row
who was Agent
was managing
these properties
incurred un-
authorized ex-
penditure
omitted to give
credit of their
share of realiza-
tions de

Sd

So the said
Agent Mr Spi
nivasa Row
applied for
payment to
him of his share
of the revenue
of the Village
on the ground
that his share
of the debt
(loan) had been
fully discharged
and payment
was made last
year. Though the
others protested.
Petitioner says
that the ques
tion of Mr
Srinivasa Rao

improper manage-
ment of the
properties is
engaging the
consideration of
Govt. & that
in the mean-
while Mr. S. Rm
is to be made
a similar payment
this year also -
If this be done,
his interests will
suffer -

He prays
therefore that
until satisfac-
-tory Accounts of
are rendered,
the payment
may be with-
held He

also requests that the Commissioner be asked to give him a D C B. account — also that the revision of the accounts sent up by the ~~Govt~~ Deputy Commr to Govt be kept in abeyance till satisfactory & complete accounts are rendered —

HMR
2. 6. 11

Reminder sent
G.J. 286-10-

13 1911. 6765

No.

Name of Petitioner M. K. Krishna Rao

Village— Mysore

Taluk— —do—

District— —do—

Date of Arzi— 7th July 1911

Date of Receipt— —do—

Date of Disposal—

No. of Enclosure—

ABSTRACT.

18/R

Expedite

Reply to my

queries — note

to the Supdt

Secy.

Dewan

7 July 11

The Superintendent

Branch II for kindly

furnishing the in-

formation asked for

by the Dewan, on the

previous petition—

RR

8-7-11.

Note continued and

Subd

N-36

12/7/1911

The Dy Com[r] Mysore

to whom the previous

petition has been sent for

report has not yet replied.

The Dewan's query was answered

in Office note 9/9/6. to this effect that—

no order or sanction has been given

for the disbursement to Srinivasa Rao

as alleged by the petitioner

* Petitioner is a member of the 3d branch of Bakshi Thippiah family — On the 2d June 1911, he presented a petition to the Dewan bringing to notice the

misimanagement,
& Mr. Srinivasa
Row a member
of the 1st branch
of the same "
family of the
properties mort-
-gaged to Govt.
for the loan granted
to the 3d branch
and praying
that pending
a settlement of
the accounts
the said Mr Srr
nivasa Row
may not be
permitted to
receive his share
of the income
which the Authorities

intended to
make this year
in addition to
payments al-
-ready made —

The Dewan
passed the fol-
-lowing orders
thereon

2 Copies

1) were the
payments made
under sanction
in accordance
with any order

2) Why not
the D C. B.
accounts to be
ordered to be
given soon —

6.11

No

reply has as
yet been received
to the Devises'
queries -

The petitioner
now reiterates
his prayers and
states that the
local authori-
ties are in favor
of making fur-
ther payments
to Mr. Srinivasa
Row and
begs that pending
an examination
of the accounts
and Settlement
of dues, the
payment of such

To,

T .Ananda Rao, E sq., B.A., C.I.E.,

Dewan of M y s o r e ,

B a n g a l o r e .

Respected Sir,

- beg respectfully to bring to your kind notice that on the 2nd of last month, I submitted a representation in person to your honour, bringing to notice, (i) the mismanagement by Mr.T .Srinivasa Rao, of the properties mortgaged to -- Government on account of the loan granted to out 3rd branch of the late Killey Cutcherry Bakshi Tippaia's family which properties were allowed to be managed by Mr.T.Srinivasa Rao, a member of the 1st branch of the family. (ii). the consequent loss to which the other members were subjected to, (iii) how in spite of such mismanagement the authorities were permitting the said Mr.T.Srinivasa Rao to receive his share of the income from Tirunelli village on the strength of his allegation that his share of the debt had been discharged (iv) how the said Mr. T.Srinivasa Rao had omitted to give due credit for our share of the realisations and lastly (v) how his accounts were unsatisfactory.

2. In bringing these facts to your honor's notice, I solicited your honor's interference in the matter, as well as the fact that similar payments was about to be made for the current year and earhestly prayed that pending a final settlement of our representation , which was under, enquiry, all further payments to the said Mr.Srinivasa Rao may be stopped,

3. Your honor was graciously pleased to give me a hearing and after making needful enquiries was kind enough to say that your honor would look into the case and pass orders.

4. More than one month has elapsed and nothing has been done. Meanwhile I understand that T.Srinivasa Rao has been urging for payments of the money and that the local authorities are also in favour of making him such payments soon.

5. I have therefore taken the liberty of appearing before your honor once again and in so doing beg to be pardoned

pardoned for my intrusion. If any payments are made to him, previous to the examination of the accounts and settlement of our dues, it will go very hard afterwards to recoup the money so paid. Both the Inamdars and the Government, will thereby be put to much loss.

6. I therefore feel constrained to beg your honor to direct that pending formal orders on my representations, the payment of any further sums to Mr.T.Srinivasa Rao, be deferred. Such an arrangement will cause no inconvenience to any body - while it affords security both the Government and other members of the family for recouping any sums that may hereafter , on the examination of accounts found to be due or to be accounted for by the said Mr.T.Srinivasa Rao:

7. I once again beg to be pardoned for my intrusion . I am compelled to do so in the interests of all the members of the family to which I belong.

I beg to remain,

Respected Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. L. Krishna Rao, Inamdar

313, Cheluvambagrahar, M y s o r e .

B a n g a l o r e .

7th July 1911.

2 drafts

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 28

Serial No. 5

Diary No. of letter replied to 4774

No. Je 5968

98-6-11

General and Revenue Secretariat

(4.7)

, the *19* .

To

The D.C. Anantapur

Sir,

Date of issue 8-6-11

Signed by W L Narasimha Rao

In forwarding the petition (to be obliged returned) received under No. Je. 4774 dt. 29/5, from M. K. Krishna Rao, a member of the late Bhakshi Rippia's family, I am directed to request you to submit a very early report on the several points raised therein.

I have &c,

for Secretary

SUBJECT. S.K-145

5/6/11.

6/6

21

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 286-10.

Serial No. 6

Diary No. of letter replied to 4774

No. JD 5969

8-6-11

General and Revenue Secretariat

(G.7.)

, *the* 19 .

To

The D.C. Hassan District.

Sir,

Date of issue 8 June/11

Signed by W L Narasimhamurthy

I am directed to forward herewith an extract of petition No. 4774 dated the 27th May 1911 from K. Krishna Rao, a member of the late Bhakshi Nippia's family, and to request you to be so good as to submit your report on the same at a very early date.

Typing Section
Please make an extract of paras 7 & 8 of the petition.

I have etc,

for Secretary

SUBJECT. S.K. 445

6.6.11

BK

State 6/6

22

G.R.S. 26]

File No.

Serial No. 57

4/6

Diary No. of letter replied to ltr

No. H6052

dy 15 June/11

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 190

To

The Deputy Commissioner

Mysore.

Date of issue 15 June/11

Signed by W L Narasimha Rao

Sir

In Continuation of this office letter No H5968 dy 8/6/1911. I am directed to forward herewith another petition dy 2/6/1911 from Mr M K Krishna Rao a member of the late Bakshi Thippaiah family and to request that he may be submitted to all on the several points urged immediately a report

The Obliged Servant

~~Charges are urged against the Bakshi was made~~

Have etc

for Secretary

SUBJECT

14/6/11

24/11

2

G.R.S. 26]

2 drafts

File No. 216-10
Serial No. 8

Diary No. of letter replied to 105

No. H320

25-7-11

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 19 .

To

The Dy Com^r
Mysore Dt-

Date of issue 25 July/11

Signed by M C Narasimhaiah Sir

In reference to correspondence ending with letter no H 6052 d/15/6/1911 forwarding for report petition from M K Krishna Rao – a member of the late Bakshi Thippaiah's family. I am directed to request you to be so good as to cease paying Pension

over.

SUBJECT.

Rec. his share of the revenues
of the Thirumalli village
till a satisfactory adjustment
of the loan accounts of the
other members of the
family who have an
interest in the revenues of
the said village is arrived at; if as alleged
payments are being made
to him prejudicial to the interest
of Govt. and the other co-parceners
of the family of which Govt are not
cognisant officially.

2) I am to inquire
why D.C.B. accounts of the
properties of the family under
Govt management should
not be furnished to the ~~Board~~
members debtors.

3. An early reply of report of action
taken in the matter is awaited

I have &c.

Sd/- J.H.
for Secretary

J.H. 8/
12/7/1911

List of enclosures,

11/July 11

Copied by

Examined by

S.C. 26.)

GPB—20,000—25-9-10

G.R.S. 34]

No. H321 Gl 286-10-8(a)

GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

(Gen. Sec)

Office Memorandum.

To

the 25-7-1911

Mr M. K. Krishna Rao
Inamdar, 313 Chelvamba Agrahara
Mysore.

With reference to his petition of 2d June & 7th July 1911. ~~Copy~~ addressed to the Dewan Complaining against the mismanagement by Mr Srinivas Rao, a member of the 1st branch of the Bakshi Thippaiah family of the properties mortgaged to Govt towards the loan granted to the 3d & branch of this family and praying that pending an examination of the accounts of incomes and the settlement of dues & payments to Mr Srinivas Rao ~~may be deferred~~ incurred of his share of income from Tirumalli village may be ordered to deferred and that D.C.B accounts in respect of the villages mortgaged to Govt be furnished, Mr Krishnaiah informed that the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore &c, has

P.T.O

GPB—2 000 copies—26 4 09

No

this day been addressed to on the subject for the submission of an early report of action taken in the matter, ~~date~~ on receipt ~~of~~ of which final orders on the aforesaid representation will be passed.

By order

For Secretary

M-36
22/7/49
Mukherjee

S.K. 24/7

190

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Coorg District

To
The Chief Secy
to the Govt of Madras

Dated 3rd Nov. 1911

Despatched 4th

Received

General No. 2172

No.

Enclosure No. 4

Submitting report on the petition of Mr M. K. Krishna Rao re the mismanagement of Iruvally village by Ex-Agent of Iruvally Mr.

Date 15.11.
11-12
37.
286-4
13

28

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District
Mysore dated 3rd Nov. 1911

From

M.Kantaraj Urs Esquire B.A.
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

To

The Financial Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.F46052 dated the 15th June 1911 and No.F4320/G R286-10- dated 25th July 1911 calling for a report on the petitions of Mr.M.K.Krishna Rao a member of the late Bakshi Thippia's family in re the mismanagement of Tirunally village by the Ex Agent T.Srinivas Rao I have the honor to state as follows:-

The applications of Mr.Krishna Rao were sent to the Sub Division Officer Mysore with instructions that the accounts of Tirunally village in the Hunsur Taluk, kept by the ExAgent Srinivasa Rao might be called for and examined and a report submitted on the result of such examination. The Sub Division Officer in his letter No. 170F~~16-12~~ dated 9th October 1911 reports that the contending parties (i.e. the Ex Agent on one side and Venkta Rao and Krishna Rao on behalf of 3rd branch) have since come to an understanding and are now agreed that a sum of Rs. 1008 may be taken as the aggregate of short credits and unincurred and unauthorised charges and that Ex Agent Srinivas Rao has expressed his willingness to make good this amount from his share of the income of the village.

As the parties are now agreed not only about the amount due, but also about the method of its adjustment, Srinivas Rao's share of the Revenue of the village may be taken towards the reduction of the loan of Sannoo Rao and others. On receipt of definite orders in the matter, I shall arrange to credit Srinivas Rao's share of the Revenue of the vil-

village towards the loan of Sannoo Rao and others till the total amount due by Srinivas Rao as now agreed to by both the parties is made good.

As regards the granting of D.C.B accounts to the Inamdars, I beg to state that accounts of Paduvanakodu Hosahalli & village have been furnished and the Amildar of the Hursur Taluk has been directed to furnish the accounts of Tirunally village.

In respect of the accounts etc., of the villages situated in the Hassan District, a reference may be kindly made to the Deputy Commissioner of that district for furnishing an account to the Inamdars.

As regards the toddy Revenue of Tirunally village said to remain unadjusted towards the loan account, I beg to state that the toddy revenue of the Inam village was settled so long ago as October 1903 in Government order No. 330-1 Ex:712-01 of 17-10-1903 The amount of the grove-farm rent less the advance already paid to the proprietors of the village and the establishment charges ~~payable~~ repayable under rule 2 of the Inamdar's toddy rules of Agust 1901 for the years 1892-93 to 1896-98 has been embodied in a refund bill and submitted to the Excise Commissioner in view of obtaining the sanction of Government through the Excise Department. The Revenue allowed under rule 13 from the year 1897-98 onwards has to consist of an annual lump sum calculated in accordance with the rule of proportion therein laid down. Since the question of working out the rule prescribed therein is pending revision of Government, the Excise Commissioner has requested to defer the submission of the bill falling under the aforesaid rule. As soon as definite instructions in the matter are received arrangements will be made for its early adjustment.

The report of the Sub Division Officer docket No. 2/~~330~~, dated 4.7.11 received from the District Excise Officer and the petitions recived with your letters under reply are herewith

submitted--------

3D

submitted for perusal.

I have the honor to be

sir,

your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District.

G.F 286-1-3

59 54774 31

To

G.7 J. S. Chakravarti, Esq., M.A., F.R.A.S., Etc.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e.

Respected Sir,

We most respectfully beg to submit this application to your honour's gracious consideration.

1. We beg to state that we are the members of the third branch of Thippiah's family - otherwise called Sannu Rao's -- branch. Government were pleased to sanction to this branch a loan of Rs.12,782/- in 1896 and recently another Rs.8,000/- on the security of one third share of Tirunelly Village, Hunsur Taluk, half of Padavanakodu Hosahalli Vritti lands in Chamarajnagar Taluk and Sarvamanya Hodenur Village in Hassan District.

2. At first Government granted loans to three branches of this family having in view that all members of the family should join in executing a single document and be responsible both jointly and severally for the due discharge of the entire loan.

3. As the granting of the loan to our branch had to be delayed for a certain time the Government sanctioned loans to the other two branches and allowed the members to execute separate documents. Although they allowed such a procedure to be adopted by the Deputy Commissioner for convenience sake -- they did not seem to have with-held their view.

4. As soon as the documents were completed, the villages and lands were handed over to Government for being managed by them. In this connection we beg to state that one of the villages, viz., Tirunelli which was also ordered and taken over by Government for management, was subsequently allowed to remain in the hands of T. Srinivasa Rao, an inamdar and a loan-holder, whose interests were adverse to the interests of this branch of the family.

5. Hitherto the Comptroller was adjusting the realizations of the villages to these three loans considering all the members are jointly and severally responsible for the loan,

60

which was the view of the Government while granting the loan and that they have not abandoned it.

6. Although these properties were taken under the management of Government as per agreement come to between the inamdar and Government, we were not favoured with any accounts relating to the income and expenditure and adjustments towards the loan. But, on the other hand we are being served with notices year by year mentioning a lump figure representing the balance outstanding against us. In the notice we were asked to admit the balance as correct or to dispute it if thought incorrect by us. We do not know how we have to judge the accuracy of the balance in the absence of information. As we have not been given any detailed accounts we will not be in a position to know how the adjustments of the realizations of our villages from the time of the grant of the loan have been made and how the present - balance has been arrived at.

7. When the villages viz., Tirunelli, Hodeyanur were - handed over to Government survey and settlement were not introduced into them. The Government before settlement expected a sum of Rs.650/- (Hodeyanur - Rs.270 plus Tirunelli Rs.380) from these two villages towards the Government loan. After the introduction of survey and settlement into the Hodenur Village the income, of course, has nearly doubled itself, and the realizations, we believe, are being properly adjusted towards our loan. In this connection we beg to bring to your honour's kind notice that preliminaries to the survey and settlement to this village were effected as far back as 1893 and the inamdars served with a notice in 1901 stating that the annoucement of the assessment as fixed by the Revenue Survey would be made. We do not know how the levy of the assessment fixed by the Revenue Survey till 1906-07 although the settlement was complete during 1900-01.

8. This omission has resulted in a serious loss of about 2,000 rupees to us. If the enhanced rate of assessment had - been announced in due time, ie., in 1901, we would not have suffered such a serious loss. The loan too would have been completely liquidated by this time. We now request your honour

to investigate the matter, and to compensate the inamdar for the loss for which they cannot be made liable. This fact of not having announced the settlement assessment in due time, has retrograded the village income rather than progressed.

9. Government expected Rs.380 from Tirunelli village towards the reduction of the Government loan before settlement. Although the settlement in 1899-1900 has enormously increased the income of the village the amount made available by the unauthorised agent towards our loan is but a trifling sum which is less than the net incmme expected by Government before settlement. We poor inamdars handed over these villages to the management of Government thinking that Government management would be far better than private management and that the condition of the village would be greatly improved. But to our misfortune the case is otherwise. Government were pleased to extend the concession of granting loans to several other inamdars and their villages too were taken even under Government management. We hear that a major portion of their loan has been reduced leaving, but a trifling balance against them.

10. Although our villages too were handed over to Government management they failed to ~~reduce~~ realize the amount expected by Government while granting the loan. At any rate by practical working the income seems to be quite insufficient even to cover the interest on the loan and we are sure that the -- Government loan will never be discharged even if these villages were to be allowed to continue under the management of Government for years. It is already 15 years since the Government sanctioned the loan. But the balance shown as outstanding -- against us is more or less equal to the amount of loan granted by Government. If the properties should continue in this neglected condition, we are afraid that Government will not realize even the interest on the loan.

11. We therefore hubly beg to approach Government with a request to reduce the interest from 5 to 3 per cent. The concession, we ~~hear~~ hear has been extended in the case of Mr. D. Devaraja Urs. The interest sanctioned in this instance is said to be 3¾ per cent. But we request the rate to be still further reduced considering the present condition of our family

62

34

12. The Government while granting the loan have considered this family a very special ~~and~~ one for the distinguished services rendered by their ancestors to the State from 1629 to 1881 and have advanced a loan equal to 15 times the net revenue of the villages, which has not been extended to any other Inamdars to whom also Government have advanced loans. We therefore approach Government to consider this request as a special case and extend their liberal concession in the direction of reducing the rate of interest prayed for.

13. The village of Tirunelli also was handed over to Government management after the execution of the document. But the same was somehow or other through the connivance of Taluk officials left in the management of T.Srinivasa Rao, an Inamdar whose interests were adverse to ours.

14. This fact having come to the notice of Government an order was passed (Vide Government Proceedings No. G.O 422-30 dated 15.7.09) directing the village to be immediately taken over under the management of Government. Accordingly the Deputy Commissioner took over the management in 1909-1910 and Srinivasa Rao applied for the restoration of the management to himself.

15. Since his interests were adverse to ours and that the village was mismanaged by him, we applied to the Deputy-Commissioner that T.Srinivasa Rao ~~possessedin~~ interests adverse that he is also a debter, that he always remits amounts at his own instance without having regard to the shares possessed by this family in the village, and that he did not always care to remit the one-third share of the realisations for the reduction of our loan, that he incurred expenditure at his own instance which were more or less unauthorised, and that the matter should be enquired into and set right.

16. On this application, the Deputy Commissioner called for a report from the Sub-Division Officer, Mysore. The Sub-Division Officer issued a notice to Inamdar, T.Srinivasa Rao to appear before him with all accounts relating to Tiru-

63

35

Tirunelli Village. Although the notice was personally served on him, he did not appear before the Sub-Division officer with accounts and bring the matter to an end.

17. So, the Sub-Division Officer directed the prosecution of the person for having disobeyed his orders, and requested the Deputy Commissioner for sanction. He even added that Srinivasa Rao did not appear with accounts and one of the members viz., Krishna Rao appeared with information supported by documents to show that this family has suffered to the extent of about Rs.2000/- by the mismanagement of Srinivasa Rao and that the future collections of his portion of the village should be withheld till the man turns up with his accounts and satisfies Government for the shortcomings complained of by the Inamdars.

18. Since the management of the village was taken away from the Inamdars,he applied several times to Government for the restoration of the management to him, to which Government were pleased to order in their Proceedings No.Fl. 1989-37-09 dated 9th October 1909 and No.Fl.3471/G.F.37-09 dated 21st January 1910, that he may place himself in communication with the Deputy Commissioner and reconcile the differences between his account of the village and that of the Deputy Commissioner and establish to the satisfaction of that officer tha the entire loan has been repaid and as regards the partition, they informed him that if he effects a partition it will be — practicable to consider his request.

19. While circumstances stood thus,T.Srinivasa Rao applied to the Deputy Commissioner for the payment of his share out of the realisations of Tirumelli village. The Deputy Commissioner referred the petition to the Sub-Division Officer asking him whether the amount might be paid to him. The Sub-Division officer replied that as Srinivasa Rao had not rendered any accounts though called for, and as he stood responsible to certain amounts due to Sannu Rao's share, his one-third shar might be reserved for adjustment towards Sannu Rao's loan aee account.

64

20. In spite of the Sub-Division Officer's report stating to withhold payment, the party somehow or other managed to get back his share of the realisations without satisfying the Deputy Commissioner ~~xxxxx~~ or Sub-Division Officer by rendering accounts. As the loan account of this branch has not received its fair share of revenue from the Tirunelli village and as the Inamdars clearly pointed out to the Deputy Commissioner the source from which this shortage could be made - good, the Deputy Commissioner does not seem to have taken notice of our prayer at all in as much as he paid the amount to Srinivasa Rao. So, we are put to heavy loss, in addition to what we have already suffered by the neglect of the Government, officers in having allowed the village to be mismanaged . We therefore request your honor to issue needful orders to the Deputy Commissioner to withhold payment to Srinivasa Rao until he makes good the shortage of this loan account.

21. We hear that certain accounts of this family are submitted to Government for revision by the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore. As this question has to be taken into consideration only after the village accounts are rendered by Srinivasa Rao and the shortage also made available for the reduction of the loan, we beg to request that orders in this direction be issued to the Deputy Commissioner and the revision of accounts ordered to be kept in abeyance till complete and satisfactory accounts are rendered.

22. Our several repeated requests to the Deputy Commissioners of Hassan and Mysore for a Demand, Collection and Balance account of our villages (giving the particulars of the several items of Revenue realisable from the villages) from the date of the loan have proved futile. We therefore beg your honor to direct these Deputy Commissioners to furnish us with accounts prayed for at an early date. Unless our prayer with regard to the accounts is complied with we beg to represent that we are unable to admit the balance which may be hereafter shown against us.

65 37

23. Although the claim to Toddy Revenue from the Tirunelli village was settled as far back as 1903-04, the amount remaining unpaid for the last 18 years or more. If this amount too is not made available for adjustment towards our loan the revision will not be said to be complete. So we request that the Toddy Revenue may be early ordered to be refunded and the same adjusted towards our account and till then the revision may be ordered to be kept in abeyance.

For which act of kindness, it is needless to say, that we shall ever pray

We beg to remain,

Respected Sir,

Your most obedient servants,

Bangalore city-
27. 5. 11

M. K. Krishnarao
Divandar.
(For the members of the third branch)

513, Cheluvamba Agrahar, Mysore.

66 38

To

T. Ananda Rao, Esq., B. A., C.I.E.,

Dewan of M y s o r e,

B A N G A L O R E.

In person
2 6 11.

Respected Sir,

We beg respectfully to bring to your notice that we are the members of the Third Branch of the late Killey Cutchery Bakshi Thippiah's Family otherwise called Sannu Rao's Branch.

2 In connection with the loan of Rs.20,000 granted to the Third Branch one-third share of Tirunelly village in the Hunsur Taluk along with some other villages and lands have been mortgaged to Government with possession.

3 One Mr. T. Srinivasa Rao an Inamdar and a loan-holder of the First Branch of the same family whose interests have been adverse to our branch has all along been managing the property thus mortgaged to Government.

4 Unfortunately for us his management was not very satisfactory in as much as he continued to incur ~~unetheri~~ unauthorized expenditure and omitted to give due credit of our share of the realizations towards our debt.

This matter has already been to the notice of the authorities and the Government. And the matter is now under enquiry. Meanwhile the said agent Mr. T. Srinivasa Rao applied both to the Deputy Commissioner and the Government for payment to him of his share of the revenues from Tirunelly village on the ground that his share of the debt had been fully discharged.

6 Our representation that his manaement was not satisfactory and that due credit as not given for our share of the revenue from Tirunelly village is now engaging the consideration of the authorities.

7 While matters stood thus the revenue authorities on their own responsibilities and without any consent of or notice to other share-holders made payment of a third share of the revenues

to

67 89

to Mr. T. Srinivasa Rao last year. Against this the other members of the family made a strong protest and this protest also still remains undisposed.

8 In the meanwhile I understand that it is proposed to pay this year also Mr. T. Srinivasa Rao's revenues in the said village. We have as usual sent up a protest but as it is unlikely that this protest will receive due and timely consideration we are constrained to approach you with these few lines with the humble prayer that you will be pleased in view of the circumstances above set forth to direct that pending settlement of the proper adjustment of our accounts the payment to Mr. Srinivasa Rao be with-held.

9 If this is not done we venture to say our interests will greatly suffer and it will be too late to remedy.

10 We also hear that certain accounts of this family have been submitted to Government for revision by the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore. As any revision has to be taken into consideration only after the village accounts are satisfactorily rendered by Mr. T. Srinivasa Rao and the shortage made available for the reduction of the loan we beg to request that orders be issued to the Deputy Commissioner that the revision of accounts to be kept in abeyance till complete and satisfactory accounts are rendered by him.

11 Our several repeated requests to the Deputy Commissioner for a Demand, Collection and Balance accounts of our villages (giving the particulars of the several items of revenue realizable from the villages) from the date of the loan have proved futile. We therefore beg your honour to direct the Deputy Commissioner to furnish us with accounts prayed for at an early date. Unless our prayer with regard to the accounts is complied with we beg to represent that it will be impossible to admit correct balance which may be hereafter shown against us.

For

68 / 40

For which act of kindness it is needless to say that we will ever pray.

We beg to remain,

Respected Sir,

Your most obedient servants,

M. K. Krishna Rao,
Inamdar.

(For the members of the third branch of
313, Cheluvambagrahar, Mysore.

Bangalore.

2nd June 1911.

30/7-11

1911-12.

From The District Excise Officer,
Imporе District.

To The Deputy Commissioner,
Imporе District.

Dated 3rd July 1911.

Despatched 4-7-11.

Received

General No. 2
No.

Enclosure No. Nil

No
5.7.11
Peet
will
Comm Secy
5.7.11
Ks

His docket No. 8228 dated the 21st ultimo, calling for certain information concerning the refund of the toddy Excise revenue of the Inam Village of Tirunelli, in the Hunsur Taluk.

I Has the honor to State in reply, that the Inam Village in question being one that has no toddy shops but only date groves for the source of toddy Excise

Excise; the claim has been ordered to be regulated by rules 2 and 13 of the Inamdars' Toddy rules of August 1901. The amount of the grove-farm-rent, less the advance already paid to the proprietors of the village and the establishment charges, payable under the former rule for the years 1892-93 to 1896-97, has been embodied in a refund bill submitted to the Excise Commissioner in view of obtaining the sanction of Government for its disbursement.

The revenue allowed under rule 13 from the year 1897-98 onwards has to consist of an annual lump sum calculated in accordance with the rule of proportion therein laid down, on the working of which instructions have been awaited from Government.

The Excise Commissioner has enjoined in

in his docket No. 7307 dated the 23rd February 1910 that the Sub-mission of the bill falling under the aforesaid rule 13 should be deferred till the receipt of the instructions from Government.

H. Seetharam
District Excise Officer,
Moore District.

1612
12.10.11

1911 12

From
The Assistant Commissioner
Nipani Sub Division

To
The Deputy Commissioner
Nipani Belgaum

11-10-11
Acct:

Dated 9th October 1911

Despatched

Received

General No. 170
No.

Enclosure No. 2 files of 9 papers

Submitting a report
about the accounts of
the Inam Tirunelli village
in the Hukeri Taluk.

45

Assistant Commissioners Office,
Mysore Sub Division,
Dated, Mysore 5th October 11.

From

K. Madhava Rao Esquire B.A, B.L.
Assistant Commissioner
Mysore Sub Division,

To

M. Kanta Rajeurs Esquire B.A
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Sir,

In returning herewith the records received with your docket No 669 dated 28th July 1911 directing that the accounts of Thennelli village, Hunsur Taluk kept by the Ex-Agent Srinivasa Rao may

may be called for and examined and a report submitted on the result of such examination, I have the honor to state as follows:-

The contending parties (ie the Ex. agent on one side & Venkat Rao and M.K. Krishna Rao on behalf of 3rd branch) have since come to an understanding, and are now agreed that a sum of Rs 1000 may be taken as the aggregate of those credits and unauthorised charges

Ex. Agent Srinivasa Rao

has expressed himself willing
to make good his amount
from his share of the income
of the village.

As the parties are now
agreed not only about the amount
due but about the method of
its adjustment there would be,
it is imagined, no more room
for dispute. Srinivasa Rao's
share of the revenue of the
village may hereafter be
credited towards the loan of

the

the 3rd branch with the total amount of Rs 1008/- is made good.

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your most obedient Servant

N Madhava Rao

Assistant Commr

Nagarasabe &c

1911-12.

From P.R.W.Wetherall Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

To

J.S.Chakarvarti Esquire M.A.F.R.A.S.etc
Financial Secretary to the Government
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
B A N G A L O R E.—

Dated 30th April 1912 .

Despatched 6th May 1912

Received

General No. 353

No. S

Enclosure No. one file of Commander correspondence

Subject

Submitting the report called for on the petition of K. Krishna Rao a member of the late Bakshi Thippiah's family regarding the realizations of Hodenur Inam village after the introduction of Survey Settlement there to.

Enclosure returned separately

DIARY No. 4182

	1911-1912
SECTION	G.Z.
FILE No.	286-10
SERIAL No.	19

50

1911-12.

Deputy Commissioner's Office,

Hassan District,

Dated Hassan the 30th April 1912.

From,

P.R.W.Wetherall Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

To,

J.S.Chakarvarti Esquire,M.A.F.R.A.S.etc,

Financial Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to your letter no 7 5-969/G.7.286-10 dated 8th june 1911, forwarding for report an extract from petition No7E: 4774 dated the 27th may 1911 from K. Krishna Rao a member of the late Bakshi Thippia's family complaining that the levy of the assessment fixed by the Revenue Survey for the Hodenur Inam village was not brought into effect till 1906-07 although the Settlement of the village was completed during 1900-01, I have the honor to state that the Survey Jamabandi of the said Inam village was conducted on ~~the~~ 1st April 1902 by Mr. K. Chandy the then 2nd ~~in~~ General Assistant Commissioner in this District. Thereupon the various prescribed accounts

accounts were prepared and submitted to this Office on 7th February 1903 for being transmitted to the Survey Settlement Commissioner for his approval. Since there were omissions, the accounts had to be returned to the Assistant Commissioner for the first time, pointing out the same and requiring rectification and resubmission

2. These accounts having been again received in this Office on 11-7-1903, they were forwarded to the Survey Superintendent for his approval. That Officer returned the same with his Yadi no 1185 dated 16th March 1904 observing certain omissions and requiring their rectification. The same were returned to the Assistant Commissioner. Subsequently these were sent to the Survey Superintendent, who approved of and returned the Settlement records on 15-8-1907. The same was communicated to the Amildar who then began with the levy of the assessment as per Survey Settlement rates since 1907-08.

3. The present annual income of the village is Rs. 516-12-0. After defraying therefrom the expenses connected with the management of the village the balance is being

being remitted to the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District towards adjustment of the loan.

3. From the Vernacular correspondence on the subject it is observed that these accounts were returned to the Taluk more than once, pointing out the omissions, and finally the same were received in this Office on 20th march 1909 with complete particulars. The Head Gumasta who was then dealing with the records in the Taluk died sometime back and no notice in this matter is now possible.

4. It may thus be seen that soon after the approval of the Survey Superintendent the levy of assessment as per Survey was started and that there was some delay owing to correspondence in the correct preparation of the prescribed accounts.

5. The Vernacular correspondence ending with Arkalgud Taluk Amildar's report no $\frac{1269}{1315}$ dated 21st February 1912 is herewith submitted for reference and obliging return

I have the honor to be,
Sir
your most obedient Servant

H S Venkatarama Rao

for Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

Rec

G.R.S. 28.] 1315

File No. 286-10

Serial No. 26

Diary No. of letter replied to 1571

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

, the 19

Date of issue 14-5-12

Signed by Mr. S. Shamanna

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Fe 4996-9.
97 286-10-3.

READ--

Correspondence ending with Letter No. 212 dated the 3rd Nov. 1911 from the Dep^{t}. C. Mysore St., on the management of certain properties mortgaged to Govt. on account of the loan granted to the family of the late Bakshi Thippiah.

ORDER THEREON.—No. Read thereon No:-

As the Dep^{t}. C. of the Mysore St reports that an arrangement has been arrived at between ex agent Srinivasarao

SUBJECT.

GPB—10,000—19-4-10

copd 16/5/12

54

Srinivasa Rao on the one side and Venkata Rao and Krishna Rao on the other, under which the latter have agreed to recieve a sum of Rs. 1008 (from Srini-Vasa Rao) in full Satisfaction of the claims in dispute between them, Govt. approve of the D.C's proposal to credit the Share of the Revenue of the Tirumally Village due to Srinivasa Rao towards the loan of Samoo Rao and others to the extent of Rs. 1008.

With respect to the Villages situated in the Hassan Dt, the D.C. of that District is requested to furnish the Inamdars with the D.C.D. accounts relating to the Villages Situated in the Hassan Dt.

Orders will be separately passed regarding the todoy revenue due to the Inamdars on receipt of proposals on that behalf from the Excise Comm.

By Command,

[illegible]
Secy.

To

The D.C., Mysore Dt
The D.C., Hassan Dt
The Excise Comm.
The Comptroller.

To

J. S. Chatterjee, Esquire. M.A; F.R.Hs
Solr

Financial Secretary,
to the Government of
Mysore

Sir

1/9.7
10 v
July 1911

With reference to your official memorandum
the undersigned, representative of the late Baldi
Appaiah's family, respectfully begs to know
how his application dated 2nd June 1911 and
7th July 1911 addressed to the Dewan Complaining
against the mismanagement by Srinivasa
Rao Ex-agent, of the properties mortgaged
to Government towards the loan granted
to the 3rd branch of the family is finally
disposed of!

further that the undersigned regrets
much for not having been furnished with
the detail D.C.B accounts of the villages
mortgaged to Government even after the
lapse of 15 years during which the villages
are under Government, enabling them to
understand the actual Condition of the loan

It will not be out of place to mention
here over and over again that his petition
to the local Officers of both Mysore and Hass
Districts for the purpose are only a very in

10 Aug 13
SECTION G F
FILE No. 2 56
SERIAL No. 23

Equity:-

Therefore we humbly request Your Highness will direct Your Office to let him know at an early date if the petitions are still pending of the aforesaid and if it is already disposed of to communicate him the final orders of the Government in the matter and also to order the Deputy-Commissioner of Kanpur and Assam Districts to get and to the required information, and accounts and other particulars of the villages according to the law of mortgage for which act of Kindness he on behalf of his family members will be ever thankful to Your Highness.

I beg to remain,
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant
M. K. Thirthankara
B.A.B.L. Chittamdar.
Kanpur
20.8.1912

Nanjaraja Bahadur's Chaultran
Kanpur

S/

Sl. No. 24

G.R.S. 34]

No. H 2666

GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

( )

Please type
2 Copies

Office Memorandum.

To Mr M. K. Krishna Rao.
Mandar
3.13. Cheluvamba Agrahar
Mysore.

the 30-10-1912

In Continuation of this office Endorsement No. H 321 dy 25. 7. 1911. granted to him he is here informed that the Dy Com^r Mysore reports that the petitioner & Mr T. Srinivas Rao have come to an amicable settlement in respect of the loan accounts and its adjustment and that T. Srinivas Rao has agreed to credit Rs 1008 in the aggregate towards the loan account of Dasappa Rao & others.

The petitioner is therefore requested to put himself in communication with the Deputy Commissioner Mysore for the D C B & such other accounts which he may want

By order

Secretary

GPB—2 000 copies—26 4 09

P.T.O

N-36 21/11/11

21/10/12 Hoo

58

No 2667 & 30-10-12

Copy forwarded to the Dy Com^r Mysore Dr
with a request that the accounts of the
mortgaged villages under Govt management
may be furnished to the parties concerned —
and endeavours made for a speedy
and satisfactory closing up of this
long standing Govt loan against
the Members of this family, to avoid the constant
complaints of contending parties of the family

By Order.

For Secretary

N-36
24/11

14.1.13

54

G.R.S. .26]

File No. 286-18

Serial No. 26

Diary No. of letter replied to 1924.

No. 3918

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 19 .

Dated 17 January 1913

To

The Deputy Commissioner

Mysore Dt.

Date of issue 17-1-1913

Signed by W L Nursustock Sir,

In Continuation of

H 2667 dt 30/10/1912 I am directed to forward for disposal and the grant of necessary endorsement in the matter of the petition of M. K Krishna Rao of Bakshi Thibbaiya's family, Mysore, praying for the interest being credited at 5% on the amount to be paid to the Ex agent. J. Srinivas Rao of Tirumkudal

over.

SUBJECT.

60

which he has also consented to do -

as reported in Mysore Division Sub Dn Spl's
letter no 392 dt 1/2/1912 I have Etc

11.1.13
for Secretary

No 36 dtd
10/1/1913.

G

List of enclosures,

Copied by 10
15/1/13

Examined by

GPB—20,000—23-9-10

1913

From The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore

To The Secretary to Government
of Mysore
Financial Department
Bangalore

Dated 23rd January 1913

Despatched

Received

General No. 393
No.

Enclosure No. One

Re transmit a petn from
Mr. M. C. Krishnaiah of
family re allowing interest
on the amount to be paid
by Ex agent Mr. T. Srinivasa Rao



GPB—50,000—26-9-12

393
one

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Dated, Mysore, 23rd January 1913

From

K.Chandy, Esquire, B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

J.S.Chakravarti, Esquire, M.A., F.R.A.S.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of Mysore

Sir,

In retransmitting herewith the Petition of Mr.M.K.Krishna Rao of Bakshi Thippia's family, received with your letter No. Fl 3918/G.F. 286-10 dated the 17th January 1913, I have the honor to state that the question of allowing interest on the amount to be paid by the Ex-Agent T.Srinivas Rao of Tirunally village, has been reported in my letter No.423 dated 24-2-1912 for the consideration of Government. On receipt of final --- orders in the matter necessary endorsement will be granted to the petitioner.

Paper under Disposal No.3

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K.Chandy

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Y.G.S.

G.R.S. 26] 519 1771

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 19 .

Date of issue 12-9-13

Signed by V/ Narasimhan

G.F. File No. 286-d
Serial No. 36.

No. Jl 1499
12 Septr/13

To
The Dy Commr, Mysore Dt.

Sir,

Adverting ~~to the receipt~~ to your letter No. 393 & 23-1-1913 stating that the prayer of Inamdar M.K. Krishna Rao of Bakshi Thippaiya's family in his petition 25-11-1912 for being allowed interest at 5% on the amount found due by ex-agent T. Srinivasa Rao, will be disposed of after receipt of final orders of Govt on his letter No. 423 & 24-2-1912, I am directed to

over.

SUBJECT.

519

64

observe
~~Note~~ that as the matter has since been
~~decided and recorded~~ communicated to you in this
office Memorandum No. H.75248 9
30-6-1913, the present question may therefore
be disposed of by yourself accordingly
The petition of Inamdar
Krishnarao dt 25-11-1912 is herewith
forwarded for disposal and issue of
an endorsement to the petitioner.
I have &c.
4/9/13
for Fin. Secy.

M/ 2/9/13

SW/ 28/8

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—25-9-10